UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,185	10/16/2003	Wayne G. Hahn	067628-0109	7566
23524 FOLEY & LAR	7590 10/06/200 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
150 EAST GILL		WOO, STELLA L		
P.O. BOX 1497 MADISON, WI 53701-1497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/687,185	HAHN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stella L. Woo	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,	—· s action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	<i>'</i> —						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	l.						
·—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er er						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
, ,							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Coo the attached actained chief action for a not of the continue copies het received.							
Attach mont(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	1) Intervious Summers	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Entry Nos. 9,13,18,23,31</u> . 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-7, 10-13, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bravin et al. (US 2003/0069997 A1, hereinafter "Bravin").

Regarding claims 1, 10, 18, Bravin discloses a system for relaying communications between a first device (caller's communication device 32) and a second device (callee's communication device 37) utilizing a third device as an intermediary (portal system 20) where the second device is a telephone on a plain old telephone system network (callee's communication device 37 can be a voice telephone connected via PSTN; see Fig. 1; paragraphs 15, 29) and the communications between the first device and third device involve video (caller's communications means 32 can include video in order to communicate by sign language with the relay operator; Fig. 1; paragraph 18), the system comprising:

a first input and output communication device (caller's communications device 32);

a server device (portal system 20);

Application/Control Number: 10/687,185 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

a second input and output communication device (callee's communications device 37);

a third input and output communication device (mode translator 40 and language translator 50).

Regarding claims 2, 11, 19, audio communication can use voice over Internet (paragraph 18).

Regarding claims 3, 12, 16, 20, video communication can comprise sign language (paragraph 18).

Regarding claims 4-5, a deaf person can use a computer which captures video (paragraph 18) and receives video and text (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6, communication can be wireless (see Figure 1; paragraph 29).

Regarding claim 7, note portal system 20 (paragraph 30).

Regarding claim 13, communication can use the H.323 protocol (paragraph 19).

Regarding claims 15, 17, communication can be voice and video (paragraph 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 8-9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bravin.

Bravin differs from claims 8-9 in that it does not specify using NetMeeting video or D-Link video. However, Bravin does teach using a computer to communicate captured video (paragraph 18), and NetMeeting video or D-Link video are well known videocommunication applications used with computers such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to use such well known videocommunication applications for communicating video using the computer in Bravin.

Bravin differs from claim 14 in that it does not specify calculating billing data based on elapsed minutes. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that such billing data calculation is well known when providing telecommunication services such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such well known billing data calculation within the method of Bravin in determining the cost of the telecommunication session.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,185 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stella L. Woo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614